Preparing C-Linked Disaccharides

A Simplified Ramberg–Bäcklund Approach to Novel C-Glycosides and C-Linked Disaccharides**

Graeme D. McAllister, Duncan E. Paterson, and Richard J. K. Taylor*

Dedicated to Dr. Alan H. Haines

In recent years, there has been increasing interest in the preparation and properties of C-disaccharides. Their hydrolytic stability and potential enzyme-inhibitory properties make such compounds attractive as unnatural mimetics and therefore as synthetic targets.^[1] As a result, a number of methodologies have been developed for the synthesis of this class of compound. [2,3] As part of an ongoing program [3,4] to investigate applications of the Ramberg-Bäcklund Reaction (RBR),[5] we recently reported a RBR approach to C-linked disaccharides and employed it to prepare C-isotrehalose, Chomoisotrehalose, and methyl C-gentiobioside.[3] However, the route involved the initial preparation of protected monosaccharides and their subsequent conversion into thioglycosides and then thioglycoside dioxides and was therefore rather lengthy. Herein we report the rapid synthesis of a range of novel C-glycosides and C-disaccharides which commences from readily available protected monosaccharides 1 and involves a tandem Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons (HWE)/ conjugate-addition C-glycosidation followed by a tandem halogenation-RBR sequence, utilizing Meyers variant of the RBR^[6] (Scheme 1). Thus, the plan was to prepare glycosylsulfonylmethyl-HWE reagents 2 and then carry out the condensation giving the vinyl sulfone intermediate 3 which would be expected^[7] to undergo conjugate cyclization in situ to produce sulfone 4. Subsequent Meyers-type in situ αsulfonyl halogenation followed by tandem RBR should then produce alkene 5 which could be elaborated/deprotected to provide a range of novel C-disaccharides.

Proof-of-principle studies were carried out using the benzylsulfonylphosphonate reagent $\mathbf{6}^{[8]}$ and diisopropylidene mannofuranose **7** (Scheme 2). We were delighted to find that the HWE/conjugate-addition process proceeded in a reasonable 64% unoptimized yield using sodium hydride in THF giving the C-glycoside **8** stereoselectively as the β -isomer ($J_{1,2} = 3.6 \text{ Hz}$). Sulfone **8** was next subjected to the halogenation-RBR sequence. The standard Meyers conditions^[6a] only gave a 48% yield of **9** but use of the supported KOH-CBr₃F₂

^[*] Prof. R. J. K. Taylor, G. D. McAllister, D. E. Paterson Department of Chemistry University of York Heslington, York YO105DD (UK) Fax: (+44) 1904-434523 E-mail: rjkt1@york.ac.uk

^[**] We thank the EPSRC for postdoctoral funding (G.D.M.) and the University of York for studentship support (D.E.P.). Dedicated to Dr. Alan H. Haines, to mark his retirement from the University of East Anglia and his many contributions to carbohydrate chemistry.

Zuschriften

Scheme 1. Summary of overall transformation (m, n = 0, 1).

conditions devised by Chan et al.^[6b] produced alkene **9** in 88 % yield, exclusively as the 1,2-*cis*-diastereomer with the β -anomeric configuration, and the *E*-geometry ($J_{\text{vinyl}} = 16.0 \text{ Hz}$). The thermodynamic preference for the 1,2-*cis*-relationship in 2,3-*O*-isopropylidene derivatives of furanosyl C-glycosides has precedent.^[7,9]

Scheme 2. Proof-of-principle studies using benzylsulfonylphosphonate 6.

The multiple roles of the sulfonyl group in this sequence are noteworthy: it provides the stabilizing group needed for the HWE reaction, the activating group which facilitates the conjugate addition, and the key functionality for the RBR—and its removal does not require a separate step, it is lost in a cheleotropic manner during the key carbon–carbon bond forming reaction.

We extended these studies to ribose derivatives and investigated the compatibility of a range of protecting groups (Table 1). As can be seen, benzyl (Bn), acetonide, and *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) protecting groups were all accommodated within this methodology. Noteworthy is that epimerization at the anomeric position occurs under these conditions: this is most apparent in the conversion of the 1,2-*trans*-system **18** into the predominantly 1,2-*cis*-C-glycoside **20** ($J_{1,2} = 6.0 \,\text{Hz}$ for **20** α , 4.0 Hz for **20** β ; the larger coupling constants for the *cis*-1,2-protons is in accord with previous observations)

Having succeeded with the model studies, the next step was to prepare a carbohydrate-derived phosphonate reagent. The glucose-derived phosphonate 2a was chosen in view of the reported efficient five-step preparation of thiol 22 from methyl α -D-glucopyranoside (21).^[3] As shown in Scheme 3, S-alkylation of thiol 22 with diethyl iodomethylphosphonate followed by oxidation produced the required HWE reagent 2a in 40% overall yield from 21.

With HWE reagent 2a in hand, we investigated its diisopropylidene with mannofuranose (Scheme 4). We were delighted to discover that the HWE/ conjugate-addition sequence proceeded in high yield (86%) to produce the adduct sulfone 23 (as a mixture of diastereomers). The key halogenation-RBR was again carried out using the conditions devised by Chan et al. [6b] giving E-alkene **24** $(J_{\text{vinvl}} = 15.9 \,\text{Hz})$ as the only isolable product. Alkene hydrogenation and concomitant debenzylation, followed by acetylation for characterization purposes, gave the novel disaccharide 25 in 69 % yield over the two reactions. The fourpot sequence shown in Scheme 4 involves 11 transformations (HWE, conjugate addition, halogenation, RBR, reduction, $3 \times$ debenzylations, $3 \times$ acetylations); the overall yield of 30% is noteworthy, though capable of improvement.

Scheme 3. Preparation of glucose-derived phosphonate 2a.

Table 1: HWE/conjugate-addition/RBR sequence with phosphonate 6 and a range of protected carbohydrates^[a,b]

Monosaccharide	HWE/conjugate-addition adduct	RBR product
BnO OH BnO OBn 10	BnO O_2 Ph O_2 Ph O_2 Ph O_3 O_4 Ph O_4 O_5 Ph O_4 O_5 Ph O_5 P	BnO Ph BnO OBn $(\alpha:\beta=1:2.4)$
TBSO OH	TBSO O ₂ Ph	TBSO Ph 77% $(\alpha:\beta=4:1)$
13	+ 14 (22%) ^[c] TBSO O O O O O O O O O O O O	TBSO Ph 81% $(\alpha:\beta=6:1)$
3) BnO OH	BnO S Ph O ₂ Ph 18 (32%) ^[c]	BnO Ph 78% (α : $\beta = 7:1$)
	BnO	BnO Ph 70% $(\alpha:\beta=8:1)$

[a] 1) NaH (1.1 equiv), phosphonate **6** (1.1 equiv) in THF at RT and then add lactol (1 equiv) and stir for 6–18 h; 2) Sulfone (1 equiv) in tert-butyl alcohol– CH_2Cl_2 at 0 °C then add KOH-Al $_2O_3$ (14 g mmol $^{-1}$ sulfone) and then CBr_2F_2 (10 equiv) and stir at 0 °C for 30 min; 3) Dilute with CH_2Cl_2 , stir for 10 min, filter through Celite, concentrate and purify by column chromatography on silica. [b] all alkenes >99% E. [c] **14/15** and **18/19** were readily separated by chromatography.

Scheme 4. C-disaccharide synthesis using phosphonate $\mathbf{2a}$; py = pyridine.

Zuschriften

Scheme 5. Two-directional C-disaccharide synthesis using bisphosphonate 26.

We have also shown that a two-directional variant of this methodology can be used to prepare symmetrical C-disaccharides, as shown in Scheme 5. The requisite bisphosphonate **26** has been described for the synthesis of distyryl sulfones. The double HWE reaction using **26** and diisopropylidene mannofuranose **7** worked extremely well in the HWE/conjugate-addition C-glycosidation sequence giving diaster-eomeric sulfones **27**, in a combined yield of 75 %. RBR on the major stereoisomer (β , α -**27**) using the Meyers–Chan procedure gave alkene **28** in 66 % unoptimized yield, and hydrogenation produced the novel C-linked bisfuranose disaccharide **29** in 76 % yield.

Finally, we have established that the original model study involving the Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons/conjugate addition sequence with substrates 6 and 7 followed by tandem halogenation-Ramberg–Bäcklund reaction can be carried out as a "one-pot" transformation (Scheme 6). Thus, 7 was added to the deprotonated phosphonate 6 and the mixture stirred at RT overnight. After cooling the mixture to 0 °C, the base and

Scheme 6. One-pot variant of the C-glycoside synthesis.

halogenating agent (KOH-Al₂O₃, CBr₂F₂) were added and the mixture stirred for about 30 minutes. A standard work-up (see Experimental Section) produced C-glycoside **9** in 78 % purified yield, a considerable increase on the two-step method (56 % overall yield). It should be noted that the Meyers–Chan procedure was successful in THF–CH₂Cl₂ and that *tert*-butanol, which is normally employed as a cosolvent, [6b] was not required.

In summary, we have established that a range of protected furanoses undergo a HWE/conjugate-addition/RBR sequence with benzylsulfonylphosphonate reagent 6 to produce the corresponding 2-styrenyl C-glycosides, and shown that this sequence can be performed in a "one-pot" variant in excellent yield. We have also demonstrated that monosaccharide-derived phosphonates can be employed in this methodology by utilizing the glucose-derived phosphonate 2a to produce the mixed furanose–pyranose C-linked system 24. Of course, by variation

of the monosaccharide and the glycosyl phosphonate (1 and 2 respectively, in Scheme 1), a range of other C-disaccharides becomes available. In addition, we have shown that a symmetrical C-linked bisfuranose system 29 is available by a double HWE sequence. Further work is in progress to optimize and apply this new methodology, initially to prepare C-linked analogues of furanose-pyranose disaccharides.^[11] It should also be noted that the HWE reaction has been shown^[7] to proceed efficiently on unprotected monosaccharides and studies in this area are in progress.

Experimental Section

All new compounds were fully characterized spectroscopically and by HRMS or elemental analysis.

Preparation of C-glycoside 9 by a "one-pot" procedure: A solution of phosphonate 6 (139 mg, 0.45 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of NaH (18 mg, 60 % dispersion in oil, 0.45 mmol) in dry THF (6 mL) under nitrogen at RT. After stirring at RT for 10 min, a solution of 2,3:5,6-diisopropylidene D-mannofuranose (7) (107 mg, 0.41 mmol) in THF (2 mL) was added, and the reaction stirred at RT. After 18 h, the reaction was cooled to 0°C, $KOH\text{-}Al_2O_3^{[6b]}$ (5.8 g) added and the suspension stirred for 10 min. CBr₂F₂ (0.37 mL) was added with stirring at 0 °C and the flask sealed, the stirring continued at this temperature for 30 min. The suspension was then warmed to RT and CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) added. After stirring for 15 min, the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite, washing with CH₂Cl₂, and then the combined organic washings were concentrated in vacuo. Chromatography on silica (petroleum ether:EtOAc, 3:1) gave alkene 9 (111 mg, 78%) as a colorless semi-solid, $R_{\rm f}$ (petroleum ether:EtOAc, 3:1) 0.49, $[\alpha]_D = +91$ (c. 1.2, CHCl₃), Found: 347.1858. $C_{20}H_{26}O_5$ requires $[MH]^+$ 347.1858 (0 ppm error). Consistent ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR spectroscopy, and MS data were also obtained.

Received: October 21, 2002 [Z50403]

Keywords: C-C coupling \cdot disaccharides \cdot glycosides \cdot synthetic methods \cdot tandem processes

- For recent reviews see: Y. Kishi, Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 6239–6258; T. Skrydstrup, B. Vauzeilles, J.-M. Beau, in Carbohydrates in Chemistry and Biology, Vol. I, Part I (Eds.: B. Ernst, G. W. Hart, P. Sinaÿ), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000, chap. 20; Y. Du, R. J. Linhardt, I. R. Vlahov, Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 9913–9959; D. E. Levy, C. Tang, The Chemistry of C-Glycosides, Pergamon, Oxford, 1995; M. H. D. Postema, C-Glycoside Synthesis, CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [2] For recent references see: M. K. Gurjar, R. Nagaprasad, C. V. Ramana, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 7577-7579; L. M. Mikkelsen, S. L. Krintel, J. Jiménez-Barbero, T. Skrydstrup, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 6297-6308; A. Dondoni, A. Marra, M. Mizuno, P. P. Giovannini, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4186-4199; W.-B. Yang, Y.-Y. Yang, Y.-F. Gu, S.-H. Wang, C.-C. Chang, C.-H. Lin, J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3773-3782; A. M. Gómez, A. Pedregosa, S. Valverde, J. C. López, Chem. Commun. 2002, 2022-2023; A. M. Gómez, G. O. Danelón, A. Pedregosa, S. Valverde, J. C. López, Chem. Commun. 2002, 2024-2025; R. Hodgson, T. Mahid, A. Nelson, Chem. Commun. 2001, 2076-2077; P. Pasetto, X. Chen, C. M. Drain, R. W. Franck, Chem. Commun. 2001, 81-82; X. Li, O. Ohtake, H. Takahashi, S. Ikegami, Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 4283-4295.
- [3] F. K. Griffin, D. E. Paterson, R. J. K. Taylor, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 3123-3125; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2939-2942.
- [4] a) F. K. Griffin, P. V. Murphy, D. E. Paterson, R. J. K. Taylor, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8179-8182; b) M.-L. Alcaraz, F. K. Griffin, D. E. Paterson, R. J. K. Taylor, Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8183-8186; c) R. J. K. Taylor, Chem. Commun. 1999, 217-227, and references therein; d) A. D. Campbell, D. E. Paterson, T. M. Raynham, R. J. K. Taylor, Chem. Commun. 1999, 1599-1600; e) G. D. McAllister, R. J. K. Taylor, Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 1197-1200.
- [5] L. Ramberg, B. Bäcklund, Ark. Kemi Mineral. Geol. 1940, 13A, 27, 1-50 [Chem. Abstr. 1940, 34, 4725]; for reviews see L. A. Paquette, Org. React. 1977, 25, 1-71; J. M. Clough, Comprehensive Organic Synthesis, Vol. 3, Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, chap. 3.8, pp. 880-886.
- [6] a) C. Y. Meyers, A. M. Malte, W. S. Matthews, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 7510-7512; b) T.-L. Chan, S. Fong, Y. Li, T.-O. Man, C.-D. Poon, Chem. Commun. 1994, 1771-1772.
- [7] A. H. Davidson, L. R. Hughes, S. S. Qureshi, B. Wright, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 693–696, and references therein.
- [8] Prepared by S-alkylation of benzyl thiol with diethyl iodomethylphosphonate followed by oxidation using Oxone. This compound has recently been described: M. Sindt, S. B. Schneider, J. L. Mieloszynski, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.* 2001, 174, 163–176.
- [9] H. Ohrui, G. H. Jones, J. G. Moffatt, M. L. Maddox, A. T. Christensen, S. K. Byram, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4602 – 4613, and references therein.
- [10] M. Mikolajczyk, M. Popielarczyk, S. Grzejszczak, *Phosphorus Sulfur Relat. Elem.* 1981, 10, 369–374.
- [11] M. Wang, Y. Shao, N. Zhu, M. Rangarajan, E. J. LaVoie, C.-T. Ho, J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, 454–456; H. Matsuda, A. Ishikado, N. Nishida, K. Ninomiya, H. Fujiwara, Y. Kobayashi, M. Yoshikawa, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 2939–2944.